



# Data link Network Operational Status Report

November 2019

This report is the 'Data link Network Operational Status Report' as identified in the DPMF Report Catalogue available from the [DPMF OneSky team web site](#). It provides a summary of the operational status and technical performance of data link in Europe.

A definition of the metrics used in this report is available in the DPMF Report Catalogue, the identifier for each metric is shown in angled brackets e.g. <N-1>.

**Please note:** Since the end of July the data held in the DPMF database for EDYY and EDUU has not been complete due to a problem with parsing the files received caused by the inclusion of some Baseline 2 data. This has resulted in the data from some flights not being included at all and just partial data for some flights. So data for EDUU and EDYY is unreliable and any total counts of flights etc. are also not reliable. A temporary fix has been applied since mid-December 2019.

## Operational Status

Figure 1 on the following page provides a status and performance summary for each FIR/UIR. The shading indicates whether CPDLC over the ATN is currently operational in that airspace (<N-4>). The light green shade represents areas where data link is provided but not in full compliance with the 2015/310 regulation. The five-line block of data provides the following information:

- **Line 1:** ICAO Ident and the date the service went operational. The planned dates are available from the [data link services web site](#).
- **Line 2: Average PA:** The average PA rate in the FIR/UIR expressed as the number of PAs suffered per 100 hours CPDLC. <O-23>. A trend indicator shows whether the rate is increasing or decreasing since last month.
- **Line 3: CL.** Channel load for the following frequencies: 136.975 MHz /136.875 MHz /136.825 MHz /136.775 MHz /136.725M Hz. <KPI\_PHY\_01>
- **Line 4: Freq PA:** The average PA rate for the following frequencies: 136.975 MHz /136.875 MHz /136.825 MHz /136.775 MHz /136.725M Hz. <O-23>
- **Line 5: Flt.** The first figure is the total number of flights during the month of the report, the second figure is the percentage of those flights for which the flight plan declared the flight capable of performing CPDLC over the ATN (i.e. filed 'J1')<N-1>, and the third figure is the percentage of the flights that are observed to use CPDLC over the ATN <N-2>. A trend indicator shows whether the percentage of flights actually using CPDLC is increasing or decreasing since last month

# CPDLC Operational Status - November 2019

## Label explanation

**ICAO ID** : in-service date

**Average PA** : Average number of PAs per 100 hours CPDLC in the FIR/UIR

**CL** : Channel load for 136.975 / 136.875 / 136.825 / 136.775 / 136.725

**Freq PA** : The average PA rate per channel : 136.975 / 136.875 / 136.825 / 136.775 / 136.725

**Fit** : Total flights / Percentage of flights capable of CPDLC over ATN /

Percentage of flights using CPDLC over the ATN

- Operational
- Data link provided but not in compliance with EU 2015/310
- Not yet operational

© EUROCONTROL, 2019.

This document is published by EUROCONTROL for information purposes. It may be copied in whole or in part, provided that EUROCONTROL is mentioned as the source and to the extent justified by the non-commercial use (not for sale). The information in this document may not be modified without prior written permission from EUROCONTROL.

National boundaries depicted on the chart are only indicative and have no political significance.

**Disclaimer**  
Although great care is taken in both the collection of aeronautical data and the production of the chart, complete accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Errors brought to EUROCONTROL'S attention shall be corrected. The use of the document is at the user's sole risk and responsibility. EUROCONTROL expressly disclaims any and all warranties with respect to any content within the document, express or implied.




Figure 1: Current operational status of CPDLC over the ATN

### ANSPs with service limitations

The table below explains the limitations of service for those centres shown in light green in Figure 1 i.e. 'Data link provided but not in compliance with EU2015/310'

Centre	Limitation of service
LPPC	Only the DLIC service is provided.
LFEE, LFFF, LFMM	DLIC, ACM, AMC services provided (no ACL).
LFRR, LFBB	DLIC, ACM, AMC and ACL service provided, but no downlink messages of ACL are supported.
EFIN	DLIC, ACL, ACM, AMC but only for the SITA network.
LFLJ	DLIC, ACL, ACM, AMC but only for the SITA network.
LBSR	Currently temporarily not operational. Expect to go live mid January for SITA customers only.

### CPDLC / ATN Flights

Figure 2 below shows the monthly number of flights arriving at a European airport that indicate the capability to perform CPDLC over ATN VDL Mode 2 in their flight plan (i.e. include 'J1') as well as the total number of flights <N-1>. For Nov 2019 34.6% of flights indicated the capability to perform CPDLC over ATN/VDL Mode 2.




Figure 2: Proportion of flights capable of using CPDLC over ATN/ VDL Mode 2

## Technical Performance

### Overall Provider Abort Rate

Figure 3 below shows the PA rate <0-23> aggregated for all ANSPs providing data to LISAT<sup>1</sup>. The target value is 1 PA per 100 hours CPDLC (shown as a dashed line on the graph below). The overall average rate for November 2019 was 5.1 PAs per 100 hours.





Figure 3: PA rate

### Recent Weekly PA rate per Centre



<sup>1</sup> Currently MUAC, Skyguide, DFS, NATS, ANS CZ and Slovenia Control.

Figure 4: Weekly PA Rate per Centre

### Weekly PA Rate for Major Aircraft Operators

Figure 5 below shows the weekly PA rate for the three aircraft operators with the lowest average PA rate and the three aircraft operators with the highest average PA rate from a list of the top 30 aircraft operators in terms of usage of CPDLC/ATN over the past 15 weeks.




Figure 5: Top 3 and bottom 3 PA Rate for Major Aircraft Operators

### Weekly PA Rate for 5 biggest users

Figure 6 below shows the weekly PA rate for the five aircraft operators that have used CPDLC most over the past 15 weeks.




Figure 6: PA Rate of the 5 biggest users of CPDLC

### Weekly PA Rate for various aircraft types

The figures below show the weekly PA rate for specific aircraft types for the five aircraft operators using CPDLC the most over the past 15 weeks with the particular aircraft type.

#### Airbus A320 Family




Figure 7: A320 Family (A318/319/320/321/20N/21N) Aircraft Operator PA Rates

#### Boeing B737 Family




Figure 8: B737 Family Aircraft Operator PA Rates

### Technical Round Trip Delay

Figure 9 below shows the 95<sup>th</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of the technical round trip delay <0-2><0-3>. It represents the delay between when a message is uplinked and the ground system receives the corresponding application level acknowledgement (aggregated for all systems providing data to LISAT).



Figure 9: Technical Round Trip Delay

## Technical Continuity

The graph below shows a new metric measuring the “Technical Continuity” (<0-25>). This is the probability that a LACK is received for an uplink message before the technical response timer expires i.e. within 40 seconds.




Figure 10: Technical Continuity

## VDL Mode 2 Performance

The following metrics<sup>2</sup> are computed based on the available data from the VGS logs provided each month to the DPMF by ARINC and SITA. These logs contain the AVLC traffic recorded at each VGS during the 24hrs of the first Friday<sup>3</sup> of each month since May 2018.

**Important note:** : SITA is providing logs for all their users whereas ARINC is only providing data for their 3 largest ATN users (SAS, EZY, DLH group). Moreover, ARINC is providing logs for all their European VGSs whereas SITA is only providing logs of VGSs from which they have access to (mainly: Norway, Sweden, UK, The Netherlands, Germany, Czech Rep., France, Switzerland, Austria and Spain). Therefore the CSPs data does not represent the behaviour of their network as a whole. The trend information for each CSP is valuable and useful but the comparison between the two CSPs is problematic since different data sets are being compared.

### AVLC Round Trip Time for the first Friday of the month.

The graph below shows the cumulative distributions per frequency (and per CSP) for the AVLC Round Trip Time (RTT) considering all the VGS logs. The 95<sup>th</sup> and the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of ED-120 together with the 95<sup>th</sup> and the 99.9<sup>th</sup> percentile of ED-228A are also provided for comparison purposes and tabulated values are reported in the legend. Please note the logarithmic scale of the RTT.




Figure 11: AVLC Round Trip Time

<sup>2</sup> The Channel load, the AVLC RTT distribution and the number of retransmission distribution are defined in the DPMF report catalogue.

<sup>3</sup> Friday is observed to have the highest flight traffic of the week.

### Number of retransmissions for the first Friday of the month.

The graph below shows the cumulative distributions per frequency (and per CSP for the CSC) for the number of retransmissions considering all the VGS logs. N=0 represents the first attempt, N=1 to N=5 represent the first to the fifth retransmissions and N>5 aggregates all the retransmissions greater than 5.




Figure 12: AVLC Uplink INFO frame retransmission count

## AVLC Round Trip Time per frequency trend

The following set of graphs show the 50<sup>th</sup>, 95<sup>th</sup>, 99<sup>th</sup> and 99.9<sup>th</sup> percentile of the AVLC RTT (in seconds) for the first Friday of each month for each frequency with the CSC split over the two CSPs. The RTT axis has a logarithmic scale with the same range for the different frequencies.




Figure 13: AVLC Uplink INFO Round Trip Time per Frequency

## Retransmissions per frequency trend

The following set of graphs show the distribution of the number of retransmissions for the first Friday of each month for each frequency with the CSC is split over the two CSPs. The vertical axis shows the number of AVLC uplink INFO frames considered with the same range for the different frequencies. N=0 represents the first attempt, N=1 to N=5 represent the first and fifth retransmissions and N>5 aggregates all the retransmissions greater than 5.




Figure 14: AVLC Retransmission rate per frequency

## Channel load per frequency trend

The following set of graphs show the channel load per AVLC payload type (ATN, AOA and AVLC protocol related frames<sup>4</sup>) for the first Friday of each month for each frequency with the CSC split over the two CSPs. The channel load is expressed in megabytes with the same range for the different frequencies.




Figure 15: AVLC Channel load per frequency

©2015 The European Organisation for the Safety of Air Navigation (EUROCONTROL). This document is published by EUROCONTROL for information purposes. It may be copied in whole or in part, provided that EUROCONTROL is mentioned as the source and the extent justified by the non-commercial use (not for sale). The information in this document may not be modified without prior written permission from EUROCONTROL.

<sup>4</sup> i.e. RR, SREJ, XID, ...